



**ILLINOIS  
CRIMINAL JUSTICE  
INFORMATION AUTHORITY**

---

---

**120 South Riverside Plaza • Suite 1016 • Chicago, Illinois 60606 • (312) 793-8550**

Regular Meeting  
Friday, December 4, 1998 at 9:30 a.m.  
120 South Riverside Plaza, Suite 1016  
Chicago

**AGENDA**

- Call to Order and Roll Call
- 1. Approval of the Minutes of the September 25th Regular Meeting
- Chairman's Remarks
- Acting Executive Director's Remarks
- 2. Budget Committee Report (*Roger Richards, Chair*)
  - a. Report on the December 4, 1998 Budget Committee Meeting
  - b. FY 99 Fiscal Report
  - c. FY 2000 Budget Update
- Legislation and Regulations Committee Report (*Norbert Goetten, Chair*)
- Authority Research
  - Analysis of Criminal Warrant Data
  - Analysis of Fines, Fees and Penalties
- New Business
- Adjourn

This public meeting will be accessible to persons with disabilities in compliance with Executive Order #5 and pertinent State and Federal laws upon anticipated attendance. Persons with disabilities planning to attend and needing special accommodations should contact by telephone or letter Hank Anthony, Associate Director, Office of Administrative Services, Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority, 120 South Riverside Plaza, Chicago, Illinois, 60606-3997 at (312)793-8550. TDD services are available at (312)793-4170.

## MINUTES

### REGULAR MEETING ILLINOIS CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION AUTHORITY

September 25, 1998  
120 S. Riverside Plaza, Suite 1016  
Chicago, Illinois

#### Call to Order and Roll Call

The regular meeting of the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority was called to order by Chairman Peter Bensinger. Chairman Bensinger welcomed Illinois State Police Acting Director Gene Marlin to his first Authority meeting. Chairman Bensinger said Richard Mark, Chief Roger Richards and Director Odie Washington were grounded because of the fog and could not attend the meeting. Al Apa is out-of-state because of a death in his family. Chairman Bensinger asked Robert Boehmer, the Authority's Secretary and General Counsel, to call the roll.

Other Authority members in attendance were:

Vice Chair Jane Rae Buckwalter  
Cook County State's Attorney Richard A. Devine  
Ms. Barbara Engel  
State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor Director Norbert Goetten  
Illinois State Police Acting Director Gene Marlin  
Deputy Attorney General Rick Stock  
Cook County Sheriff Michael Sheahan  
Lake County State's Attorney Michael Waller

Also in attendance were Barbara McDonald for Chicago Police Department Superintendent Terry Hillard, Margie Groot for Illinois Department of Corrections Director Odie Washington, Acting Executive Director Candice M. Kane, members of Authority staff and the public.

#### Approval of the Minutes of June 12, 1998 Authority Meeting

*{State's Attorney Waller made a motion to approve the minutes of the last regular meeting held on June 12, 1998. State's Attorney Devine seconded the motion, which passed by a unanimous voice vote.}*

#### Chairman's Remarks

Chairman Bensinger stated that while the federal appropriations bill has not been agreed upon yet, it appears the Byrne program and the Local Law Enforcement Block Grant program will be funded at about the same levels as this year. He said that the Violent Offender Incarceration/Truth-in-Sentencing program and the Violence Against Women Act program will receive slight increases.

Chairman Bensinger said Congress is considering three possible mandates on the Byrne program. The *No Second Chances for Murderers, Rapists or Child Molesters Act of 1998* would penalize a state \$100,000 if an offender leaves the state and commits a crime of violence in another state. In response to Ms. Engel's

question, Mr. Boehmer said that Representative Salmon from Arizona proposed this legislation. He added there has been significant opposition and concern about this legislation, including that expressed by the National Criminal Justice Association and National Governors Association. Chairman Bensinger continued saying the *Crime Does Not Pay Act of 1998* would condition a state's Byrne funds on the creation of laws that would prohibit an offender from filing a civil suit against a victim for damages incurred during the commission of a crime. Under another proposed mandate, states would receive a 10% reduction of Byrne funds if the state does not comply with the Full Faith and Credit Provision for orders of protection under the Violence Against Women Act. Robert Boehmer said House Bill 3162, passed this session, provides for foreign orders of protection to be enforced in Illinois. He added there are some implementation questions, but we are well on our way to compliance.

Chairman Bensinger said Congress is working on juvenile justice bills. He indicated that the Juvenile Accountability Incentive Block Grant program was passed as part of an appropriation bill last year rather than a separate stand-alone substantive bill. Legislators are attempting to make that program more permanent. If a new juvenile grant program becomes a part of the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act or its successor, it is expected that the chairs of the Authority and the Juvenile Justice Commission will serve as co-chairs. Chairman Bensinger concluded his remarks by saying that Congress is not expected to complete its session by September 30<sup>th</sup>—the end of the federal fiscal year—but they are expected to complete their business by the 9<sup>th</sup> of October.

Chairman Bensinger called on Director Kane to give the executive director's report.

### **Executive Director's Report**

Acting Executive Director Kane stated the June '98-August '98 Highlights memo at Members' places covers some of what staff have been doing in the last several months. She called attention to the fact that the On Good Authority series received an award from the Justice Research and Statistics Association, providing recognition to the work of the Research staff and staff of the Authority as a whole from our peers at the national level. She noted that the On Good Authority publications are reader-friendly consolidations of large evaluations.

Director Kane pointed to an item at Members' places entitled "Circuit Clerk Disbursement of Funds by Circuit." This information is a follow-up on the presentation at the last meeting on probation fines, fees and collections. She said this information is difficult to interpret and represents a work in progress. Director Kane added that staff will return to this topic at the next meeting and hopefully have some closure on the questions we have raised. Chairman Bensinger commented that the listing shows that \$160 million has been received in fines and forfeitures within probation on a statewide basis for a one-year period. Director Kane added they were collected statewide and should have remained at the local level. In response to a question raised by Chairman Bensinger, Dr. Ramker said the money represents what was actually disbursed by the clerks to the municipalities, to the counties and to various state funds. Ms. Engel said this issue was raised with the idea of finding out whether fines are being collected in a reasonable way in each county. The hope was that if they weren't, we could figure out some strategy to increase the collection of fines and have a positive impact on our programming funds. Director Kane said now staff is trying to figure out how much was assessed compared to how much was collected. State's Attorney Waller commented that while this is not a new

problem, he cannot remember ever seeing all of these figures on one document. Members suggested a number of ways to analyze the data from the Circuits including by population, crime rates and caseload. Ms. Buckwalter stated that because the director described this as a work in progress, she would rather wait until staff has studied this information further before continuing the discussion. Director Kane asked that if there is a particular line of inquiry anyone would like staff to pursue. Chairman Bensinger said this data is a good response to the questions raised at the last meeting. He said we can look at this issue again with more informed commentary after staff members study the issue and come back with further commentary and research.

In response to Chairman Bensinger's question about the Motor Vehicle Theft Prevention Council, Director Kane stated there is a sunset provision in the Motor Vehicle Theft Prevention Act. She said the Council is very committed to extending the expiration of the Act beyond December of next year. She said Director Marlin chaired his first meeting of the Council, affirmed the commitment of members to the continuation of the program and affirmed the program's apparent success based on all the data we have available to us. She noted that it would clearly be ill advised for the legislature to end the program. Director Kane said the Authority is lending considerable support to the Council now because we are down to only one full time staff person and a part-time person in the fiscal unit for the program. She added we are hoping to re-staff fairly soon.

Chairman Bensinger noted that Bob Boehmer was elected to the advisory board of the National Criminal Justice Association and Terry Gough was elected to the executive committee of the Mobile Data Users Group.

Chairman Bensinger mentioned a recent symposium at Southern Illinois University at Carbondale on alternatives to incarceration and better ways to keep the public safe. Director Goetten said that it was a very compelling presentation and good things should come out of it. He commented that the panel of two federal judges and two U.S. attorneys needed a state prosecutor and a state circuit judge who did a lot of criminal sentencing. Director Goetten added he was glad to see the Authority provide funding for the symposium. In response to Chairman Bensinger Tracy Hahn, from the Authority staff, said she, too, was very impressed and found a lot of the dialogue to be very interesting. She added that the importance of communities and early intervention for juveniles was discussed

Chairman Bensinger stated the Budget Committee met earlier with many Members present. He asked Barbara Engel for a formal presentation or a motion to adopt the Budget Committee report.

### **Budget Committee Report**

Ms. Engel made a motion to adopt the actions of the Budget Committee as approved at this morning's Budget Committee meeting.

*{A motion to adopt the Budget Committee report and approve the resolutions adopted this morning by the Budget Committee was made by Ms. Engel and seconded by Director Marlin. The motion passed by unanimous roll call vote.}*

Ms. DeMatoff stated the revised final report for fiscal year 1998 covering the period of July 1, 1997 through the fiscal year lapse end of August 31, 1998 is available at Members' places. She pointed to a few items located at Tab 2 of the Authority mailing. Chart No. 1 shows final operations expenditure information. She said remaining balances are primarily in personal services because several senior positions, including the executive director's position, remained vacant during the fiscal year, and in the Criminal Justice Information Systems Trust Fund because some planned computer equipment purchases were postponed until this year. Chart No. 2 shows final expenditures for awards and grants. She said forty-four percent of the appropriation for federal funds was spent; we still have not spent any of the \$40 million appropriated for Truth-in-Sentencing. She said the balance for general revenue awards to local governments was reappropriated and will be spent this year. Charts covering July 1 through August 31<sup>st</sup> of fiscal year 1999 were mentioned briefly.

In response to Sheriff Sheahan's question about the \$40 million for Truth-in-Sentencing, Ms. DeMatoff said the funds were provided to the Authority to be granted out at both state and county levels. Chairman Bensinger added that the Authority agreed to provide 15% of those funds for local juvenile detention facilities.

Ms. Engel said the Budget Committee considered a proposal to accept a policy on multi-jurisdictional programs. State's Attorney Devine asked that staff create an ad hoc committee, with members selected by Director Kane, to come to a future Authority meeting to talk about responses to the policy and recommend any necessary changes.

### **Authority Technology in Review**

Chairman Bensinger stated that information technology is critical for criminal justice to work effectively and for policy makers to make good decisions. Today we will hear from representatives of three different Authority units discussing the development and use of technology in the criminal justice system. The first presenter will be Terry Gough, associate director of the Authority's Information Systems Unit. Mr. Gough will give an overview of the unit's responsibilities, talk about the Authority's information systems and provide a look into the future of criminal justice information technology. He said the second presenter will be Gerry Ramker, associate director of the Research and Analysis Unit. Dr. Ramker will look at what the Authority, along with the University of Illinois at Chicago, has been working on in order to provide practitioners and the general public up-to-date criminal justice information on our website. Finally, Karen Griffiths of the Authority's Federal and State Grants Unit will present an overview of the Authority's InfoNet which is intended to automate data collection and reporting for victim service providers throughout the state. This system is an Authority funded effort that is being developed in collaboration with the Illinois Coalition Against Sexual Assault (ICASA) and the Illinois Coalition Against Domestic Violence (ICADV).

Mr. Gough said the Information Systems Unit (ISU) is composed of four groups. The customer or user support and training group train users on how to use of our systems as well as support the staff of the Authority on the use of technology. The technical support group maintains our information processing network and equipment within the Authority and assists local agencies with deployment of this technology. The operations group is based at our central computer site and is responsible for maintaining our systems in order to maximize our up time. The development group consists of programming staff who create, help implement and maintain the software that drives our systems.

Continuing, Mr. Gough said the ISU's responsibilities are to maintain the three major systems we provide to support the criminal justice community and the Authority itself. Mr. Gough said the three systems the ISU is responsible for are the Police Information Management System (PIMS); the Area Wide Law Enforcement Radio Terminal System (ALERTS); and, the Automated Law Enforcement Communication System (ALECS). The criminal justice network is the platform in which we provide services to agencies. It is the platform or technology by which we are hoping to link members of the law enforcement and criminal justice communities for the purpose of sharing information. He indicated that support for the Authority includes providing the tools and technology needed for staff to do their work.

Mr. Gough explained that PIMS, our flagship system, is an automated record-keeping system started in 1981. Fifty-four agencies, including most of the largest ones in Illinois, participate in PIMS. PIMS allows participating agencies to share their information, to collect their crime and arrest record information, to have access to the state police LEADS system, and to communicate with one another.

Mr. Gough noted that ALECS is a gateway system started in 1991 for agencies that cannot afford an expensive computer system. Over sixty agencies use ALECS, our first windows network application. ALECS gives agencies the ability to talk to their vehicles that are equipped with ALERTS wireless in-car computer technology; to talk to the state police LEADS system; to talk to other agencies; and to bring E911 information in and send it out to their vehicles.

Mr. Gough said that ALERTS, our wireless data network, began in 1989. With over 330 Illinois agencies using ALERTS, it is our most successful major program. Over 3,000 law enforcement vehicles in Illinois are equipped with this technology. ALERTS handles about ten million messages a month ranging from inquiries on local systems, on license plates (Secretary of State's office) and criminal histories (Illinois State Police's LEADS network) to vehicle-to-vehicle communications between users of the network. ALERTS breaks down the boundaries of radio frequency differences between departments and lets agencies in the northern part of Illinois communicate with those in southern Illinois.

Mr. Gough indicated that the Criminal Justice Network (CJ Net) is a technology that enhances the ability of local and state agencies to deal with each other directly. Mr. Gough stated our network was available and, in sharing our belief that Livescan technology is so important in the identification of arrestees, we joined with the Cook County Sheriff's Department. He said we are now on a very aggressive schedule to have 35 agencies using Livescan technology by the end of this calendar year. Mr. Gough said this is an example of what we are looking for—to collaborate with our partners in the criminal justice community and let technology help us do our jobs better. Over the past year and a half the Authority and the Illinois State Police (ISP) have worked to maintain systems and also to look forward to the future. Together with ISP and other major partners in the criminal justice community, we are working to deploy a new statewide record system. He said we are working with ISP on the development and deployment of the new LEADS network, as well as working with them to take our ALERTS program to a new level by expanding wireless offerings on a truly statewide basis. Another area where we are looking to coordinate efforts with ISP is with field and user support services and network communications between our agencies. Mr. Gough stated that technology is moving so fast and is so expensive in some cases it is impossible for each agency to do everything individually. He said we need to recognize that and begin to put our heads and efforts together.

Looking to the future, Mr. Gough said taking our ALERTS program to all reaches of Illinois with the records management system is making reality out of the notion of creating what is popularly referred to as an enterprise data model. Instead of having data that we all need and use in all the various disciplines located in different systems, we will try to begin work to put this into one enterprise system. Then, as we have new information added to the system, it will be available to everybody immediately.

Mr. Gough concluded his presentation introducing the idea of shifting emphasis away from today's data collection and entry mode to one in which we will start to use, understand and plan with the information we already have. He said the result will be a proactive and efficient system.

Chairman Bensinger thanked Mr. Gough and asked Dr. Ramker to give his presentation.

Dr. Ramker said that about a year ago the Authority was the recipient of a national technology award from the Justice Research and Statistics Association for our current website. About six months ago we began to redesign the site in line with the Authority's mission to provide technology support to the criminal justice system, and information support to the criminal justice community and to the public at large. He said typical criminal justice Internet sites tend to be organized around agency functions, a format that does not provide the message or information we want the Authority site to convey. He said our site is now organized around areas listed on the top bar: About, What's New, Publications, Grants, Data, Systems and Links. These areas have answers for the questions people are asking when they seek information from the Authority website. He noted that a left-hand bar will serve to highlight various topics. The bar will always be there, but the topics on the bar will change. Dr. Ramker explained that behind our website is a major database application that will make the site look like it is ever changing. He said an additional explorer or browser window will be used to go from our site to other sites. When the user finishes with that other site, they are still on our site; we do not lose them.

Dr. Ramker explained that the "About" section will provide organizational information about the Authority. Included will be members' biographies and photos, minutes of Authority meetings, similar information for the Motor Vehicle Theft Prevention Council and, eventually, a staff table of organization and contact information. "What's New" will have our latest reports, requests for proposals, links to the latest newsletters from the Department of Justice, as well as other kinds of announcements. "Publications" has all of the On Good Authority publications ready to be downloaded in part or as complete document. Criminal justice facts brochures, McGruff brochures and other brochures on our current publications list are or will be there. People will be able to request that copies of various publication be mailed to them. Another feature of this page will be the use of a technology called push marketing. People can subscribe to the site and we will automatically send e-mails when topics they are interested in have been updated or any new information is added to the site.

In response to a question from Ms. Engel, Dr. Ramker said that as a general rule longer publications will not be in electronic format but can be requested on-line. The Authority's Annual Report and perhaps a significant research report we think merits big news will be on the site. He said "Grants" is where the Authority's various grant programs and information about other funding opportunities from the Justice Department and not-for-profit groups, will be available. He noted that some strategy documents are on the site now.

Dr. Ramker said the "Data" section is a key piece for research and analysis unit. Dr. Ramker said we are embarking on a very aggressive kind of campaign to make all kinds of criminal justice and non-criminal justice data available on-line in more than just a data warehouse format. Users will be guided through analyses that they should be doing in their own particular community or county. Important trends will be identified. Dr. Ramker added that we have had some conversations with ISP to make some crime mapping technology available on line. "Systems" will contain more detailed information about the information presented earlier by Mr. Gough. The Authority's various systems are described with technical notes in some very specific newsletters and brochures. A "Links" page is organized around appropriate criminal justice topics. The left-hand bar will highlight very specific links of interest that will be on a rotating kind of schedule. The Cook County Sheriff's Office and the Chicago Police Department are highlighted there; those sites are available by a quick double click.

Dr. Ramker said that state-of-the-art administrative databases will allow staff to automatically update the site and maintain it on a continual basis. He added that we are working on the procedures and protocol to make sure that we are organized as a staff to take on this responsibility. This kind of site can get stale very quickly, and what we are after here is repeat business. We need to keep the site updated and changing for it to be useful. Dr. Ramker said we are looking to support the criminal justice system and help it work more efficiently and effectively.

Responding to Ms. Engel's question as to what data will not be included on the site, Dr. Ramker said there are ongoing discussions about which datasets will be made available. Right now we are listing official types of data sources: the Uniform Crime Reporting offense categories and various information that is drawn from the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts reports, etc. In the future data from some of the evaluation studies or other research projects will be made available. As we develop some protocol for deciding what will and won't be public, reliability and validity of the data, confidentiality and privacy rules and regulations all will be considerations.

Sheriff Sheahan commented about the importance of Internet safety for children. He said parent education will be stressed on their site. Mr. Stock added that the Attorney General's website also has information on the topic. Dr. Ramker said a staff person is working on assembling site information on Internet safety. He added that we would be very interested in linking to the information available on other sites.

In response to a comment by Chairman Bensinger, Dr. Ramker said the Internet committee that reviews the site's content is made up of various persons within the Authority. He added we maintain an ongoing dialogue with other website administrators in the areas of gangs and crime prevention.

Chairman Bensinger thanked Dr. Ramker and introduced Karen Griffiths from the Federal and State Grants Unit.

Ms. Griffiths said she will introduce the InfoNet database beginning with a review of the course the Authority followed during its development. She said about two years ago there was a substantial increase in Victims of Crime Act funding. The Authority saw this as an opportunity to provide victims service centers with advanced technology that would facilitate their data collection, enhance communication between service providers and Coalitions, standardize data collection for victims services and automate reporting.



The Illinois Coalition Against Sexual Assault (ICASA) and the Illinois Coalition Against Domestic Violence (ICADV) were given funds to purchase hardware and software to be used with the InfoNet database. The Coalitions each identified five centers to participate in a pilot project. The users met regularly with Authority staff and the Coalitions to discuss their needs for a database. The use of a demo database for two months demonstrated different needs for sexual assault and domestic violence centers. Sexual assault centers were providing services to victims and their significant others; domestic violence centers were collecting data on victims and their children. As a result, two databases were created. The InfoNet database was released to domestic violence centers in October of 1997; an update based on their feedback was released in July and August of this year. Version 1 of the sexual assault InfoNet database was also released in July and August of this year.

Ms. Griffiths said about a hundred centers and a few satellite centers are using the InfoNet database for data collection. She said users were provided with two days of training on the InfoNet database and were encouraged to get some training on Access, the software application used to design the InfoNet database. User group meetings were held to be sure that their needs and concerns were incorporated into the database. She indicated the groups will continue to meet bimonthly as long as they are using the system. For the next year users are going to be working on a local database, actually maintaining data at their centers. Users will be able to generate reports based on the data in their database that can be forwarded to their funders; the data is secure and resides at each center.

Ms. Griffiths noted that work has begun to create a network database where all data will reside at the Authority. Data will be entered into PCs at the centers and uploaded to the Authority's network database. She said that while the Authority will maintain the data, we will not have access to the data. The Authority will have only the right to Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) and Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) reports required for our funding.

Ms. Griffiths demonstrated some screens from the database for sexual assault centers. She stated three types of data can be entered into the databases. First, center information, which includes general information for the centers and the counties that they serve such as address information, populations and legislative districts. She said funding for staff information permits tracking what services are paid for by what funders. Second, program level data, which includes institutional and community services. Education and training programs provided to communities can be entered as well. Third, client information, which includes demographic and service information provided by the centers. There is no identifying information in the database; client ID numbers and age can be entered while names and dates of birth cannot. Ms. Griffiths said Centers can link clients to the ID number in a separate database or in a Rolodex, but not in the InfoNet database.

Ms. Griffiths further demonstrated that the victim screen of the sexual assault database shows tables where client information can be collected as: demographic information, presenting issues, referral sources, special needs, offender information and income information. The criminal justice process, the victim's interaction with the police and prosecution, can also be tracked. Information about orders of protection sought by the victim can be entered only in the domestic violence database. She said each time a service is provided by a center staff member it is entered into this database. Drop-down menus will help standardize data collection throughout the state; everyone in the state will collect information on a service with the same definition. She

noted that when the database was released, manuals were distributed for the users that included definitions of every field in the database and further defined every category that is in the database using definitions created by the Coalitions and their centers.

Ms. Griffiths said another component of the database was added to allow centers to generate any of 36 different reports. The reports can be sent by e-mail to a funder or to the Coalition. She explained because we are not networked, we cannot generate reports for a funder; each center has to generate a separate report from their local database. She said the Authority's Information Systems Unit has created a program that will read the reports coming in from the centers to a Coalition and aggregate the data; this will not have to be done manually. In addition to the set of reports provided with the database, we provided training to users so that they know how to query the database themselves. All fields in the database can be queried to generate reports.

In response to concerns expressed by Authority members, Ms. Griffiths said neither victims nor offenders can be identified in the database other than by basic demographic data. She added that a number of centers have created a separate database within Access for client ID information.

Ms. Engel asked about referral information. Ms. Griffiths said that in the sexual assault database referral information indicates only from where a client was referred; "referred to" information was not brought up as an issue when the sexual assault program was designed. She added that the domestic violence database has "referred from" and "referred to" information as well as "service needs" and "services received". Ms. Engel commented that people are often lost in the system in both the areas of domestic violence and sexual assault. She expressed hope that this program may provide information on where we are losing them and give us a better way to keep track of referrals—whether people are getting to where they are being sent and whether they are dealt with appropriately once they are there. Ms. Griffiths said she expects that these concerns will be addressed in the updated version of the database.

Chairman Bensinger announced that the next Authority meeting date is the 4<sup>th</sup> of December. Hearing no new business, Chairman Bensinger adjourned the meeting.

Respectfully Submitted,

Robert P. Boehmer  
Secretary and General Counsel



**ILLINOIS  
CRIMINAL JUSTICE  
INFORMATION AUTHORITY**

---

**120 South Riverside Plaza • Suite 1016 • Chicago, Illinois 60606 • (312) 793-8550**

# Memorandum

**To:** Authority Members  
**From:** Maureen De Matoff, Chief Fiscal Officer  
**Date:** November 16, 1998  
**Re:** Authority Fiscal Report - FY99

---

I have enclosed FY 1999 fiscal reports for the period July 1, 1998, through October 31, 1998, as follows:

### **Chart #1 - Operations**

The Authority has expended or obligated \$1,112,631 or 36% of available General Revenue operations funds during the first four months of fiscal year 1999. In the Criminal Justice Information Systems Trust Fund (Users Fees), a total of \$800,888 or 32% has been expended or obligated.

### **Chart #2 - Awards and Grants**

Federal expenditures and obligations total \$20,957,663 or 21% of federal appropriations, matched by \$1,582,920 or 54% of available General Revenue matching funds. Please note that only \$106 was expended against the \$40 million Federal Crime Bill Initiatives appropriation, thus reducing the overall federal expenditure percentage.

In the Criminal Justice Information Projects Fund, expenditures and obligations of \$45,753 or 5% have been incurred for the report period.

Finally, we have obligated \$113,700 or 20% of General Revenue add-on grants to locals.

I will be happy to answer any questions you may have regarding this fiscal report.

# CHART #1

## OPERATIONS

ILLINOIS CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION AUTHORITY  
FY 99 EXPENDITURES/OBLIGATIONS: 7/1/98 - 10/31/98

	FY99 APPROPRIATION	FY99 EXPENDITURES/OBLIGATIONS		FY99 BALANCE	
	\$	\$	%	\$	%
General Revenue	\$3,056,300	\$1,112,631	36%	\$1,943,669	64%
CJIS Trust Fund (USERS)	<u>\$2,496,700</u>	<u>\$800,888</u>	<u>32%</u>	<u>\$1,695,812</u>	<u>68%</u>
<b>TOTAL OPERATIONS BUDGET:</b>	<b>\$5,553,000</b>	<b>\$1,913,519</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>\$3,639,481</b>	<b>66%</b>

	GENERAL REVENUE			CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION SYSTEMS TRUST FUND			TOTAL		
	FY99 Appropriation	Expenditures and Obligations	FY99 Balance	FY99 Appropriation	Expenditures and Obligations	FY99 Balance	FY99 Appropriation	Expenditures and Obligations	FY99 Balance
Personal Services	\$1,575,100	\$392,768	\$1,182,332	\$643,500	\$107,532	\$535,968	\$2,218,600	\$500,300	\$1,718,300
Retirement - State Pick-Up	\$63,000	\$15,004	\$47,996	\$25,700	\$4,135	\$21,565	\$88,700	\$19,139	\$69,561
Retirement	\$151,200	\$37,454	\$113,746	\$61,800	\$10,246	\$51,554	\$213,000	\$47,700	\$165,300
FICA	\$118,200	\$30,093	\$88,107	\$49,200	\$8,113	\$41,087	\$167,400	\$38,205	\$129,195
Group Insurance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$74,200	\$11,869	\$62,331	\$74,200	\$11,869	\$62,331
Contractual	\$464,600	\$367,197	\$97,403	\$187,000	\$156,786	\$30,214	\$651,600	\$523,983	\$127,617
Travel	\$17,800	\$10,732	\$7,068	\$10,000	\$265	\$9,735	\$27,800	\$10,997	\$16,803
Commodities	\$13,100	\$5,186	\$7,914	\$5,000	\$978	\$4,022	\$18,100	\$6,164	\$11,936
Printing	\$40,000	\$1,858	\$38,142	\$4,000	\$0	\$4,000	\$44,000	\$1,858	\$42,142
Equipment	\$2,500	\$659	\$1,841	\$2,500	\$0	\$2,500	\$5,000	\$659	\$4,341
EDP	\$520,900	\$186,032	\$334,868	\$1,217,700	\$497,561	\$720,139	\$1,738,600	\$683,593	\$1,055,007
Telecommunications	\$81,300	\$64,691	\$16,609	\$210,000	\$467	\$209,533	\$291,300	\$65,158	\$226,142
Operation of Auto	\$8,600	\$957	\$7,643	\$6,100	\$2,935	\$3,165	\$14,700	\$3,892	\$10,808
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$3,056,300</b>	<b>\$1,112,631</b>	<b>\$1,943,669</b>	<b>\$2,496,700</b>	<b>\$800,888</b>	<b>\$1,695,812</b>	<b>\$5,553,000</b>	<b>\$1,913,519</b>	<b>\$3,639,481</b>
<b>% of Appropriation:</b>		<b>36%</b>	<b>64%</b>		<b>32%</b>	<b>68%</b>		<b>34%</b>	<b>66%</b>

# CHART #2

## AWARDS & GRANTS

ILLINOIS CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION AUTHORITY  
FY 99 EXPENDITURES: 7/1/98 - 10/31/98

	FY99 APPROPRIATION	FY99 EXPENDITURES/OBLIGATIONS		FY99 BALANCE	
	\$	\$	%	\$	%
Criminal Justice Trust Fund (Federal)	\$99,470,400	\$20,957,663	21%	\$78,512,737	79%
General Revenue Matching Funds	\$2,942,400	\$1,582,920	54%	\$1,359,480	46%
Criminal Justice Information Projects Fund	\$1,000,000	\$45,753	5%	\$954,247	95%
General Revenue Add-On Awards	<u>\$554,900</u>	<u>\$113,700</u>	<u>20%</u>	<u>\$441,200</u>	<u>80%</u>
<b>TOTAL AWARDS &amp; GRANTS</b>	<b>\$103,967,700</b>	<b>\$22,700,036</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>\$81,267,664</b>	<b>78%</b>

	CRIMINAL JUSTICE TRUST FUND (FEDERAL)			GENERAL REVENUE MATCHING FUNDS/OTHER			CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION PROJECTS FUND			TOT A L		
	FY99 Appropriation	Expenditures and Obligations	FY99 Balance	FY99 Appropriation	Expenditures and Obligations	FY99 Balance	FY99 Appropriation	Expenditures and Obligations	FY99 Balance	FY99 Appropriation	Expenditures and Obligations	FY99 Balance
Federal Assistance Support	\$5,200,000	\$1,974,116	\$3,225,884	\$841,700	\$682,451	\$159,249				\$6,041,700	\$2,656,568	\$3,385,132
State Agencies	\$13,000,000	\$8,942,819	\$4,057,181	\$2,100,700	\$900,469	\$1,200,231				\$15,100,700	\$9,843,288	\$5,257,412
Locals/Non-Profit Orgs.	\$31,000,000	\$9,811,798	\$21,188,202							\$31,000,000	\$9,811,798	\$21,188,202
Misc. Awards/Grants	\$1,500,000	\$203,421	\$1,296,579				\$1,000,000	\$45,753	\$954,247	\$2,500,000	\$249,174	\$2,250,826
Fed. Crime Bill Initiatives	\$40,000,000	\$106	\$39,999,894							\$40,000,000	\$106	\$39,999,894
Juvenile Accountability Fund	\$8,770,400	\$25,402	\$8,744,998							\$8,770,400	\$25,402	\$8,744,998
<b>Subtotal:</b>	<b>\$99,470,400</b>	<b>\$20,957,663</b>	<b>\$78,512,737</b>	<b>\$2,942,400</b>	<b>\$1,582,920</b>	<b>\$1,359,480</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$45,753</b>	<b>\$954,247</b>	<b>\$103,412,800</b>	<b>\$22,586,336</b>	<b>\$80,826,464</b>
<b>% of Appropriation:</b>		<b>21%</b>	<b>79%</b>		<b>54%</b>	<b>46%</b>		<b>5%</b>	<b>95%</b>		<b>22%</b>	<b>78%</b>
<b>Add-On Awards to Locals</b>				<b>\$554,900</b>	<b>\$113,700</b>	<b>\$441,200</b>				<b>\$554,900</b>	<b>\$113,700</b>	<b>\$441,200</b>
<b>% of Appropriation:</b>					<b>20%</b>	<b>80%</b>					<b>20%</b>	<b>80%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$99,470,400</b>	<b>\$20,957,663</b>	<b>\$78,512,737</b>	<b>\$3,497,300</b>	<b>\$1,696,620</b>	<b>\$1,800,680</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$45,753</b>	<b>\$954,247</b>	<b>\$103,967,700</b>	<b>\$22,700,036</b>	<b>\$81,267,664</b>
		<b>21%</b>	<b>79%</b>		<b>49%</b>	<b>51%</b>		<b>5%</b>	<b>95%</b>		<b>22%</b>	<b>78%</b>