

Minutes from the ARIOB Site Selection & Monitoring Committee Meeting
Tuesday, May 13, 2014, 1:30 pm -3:30 pm, ICJIA small conference room, 300 W Adams, Suite 200,
Chicago, IL

ARIOB in attendance: Simeon Kim (for Jack Cutrone), Angelique Orr, Patricia Hayden, Sarah Kooperman

ARIOB by phone: Key Tupy (for Adam Monreal)

Non-ARIOB members: Mary Ann Dyar, Lindsey LaPointe, Mystik Miller

Mary Ann Dyar opened the meeting at 1:30pm and determined there was quorum.

Designation of committee chair from ARIOB members or designees

Mary Ann Dyar explained the role of committee chair, including chairing meetings. Angelique Orr was asked to consider acting as committee chair, and she accepted the position.

Approval of previous meeting minutes

Mary Ann Dyar asked members to review the minutes for approval from the September 2013 and December 2013 meetings. Patricia Hayden made a motion to approve both sets of minutes, which was seconded by Sarah Kooperman and passed.

Discussion of processes to calculate target populations and reduction goals

Lindsey LaPointe presented to the Site Selection & Monitoring (SS&M) Committee the policy created by the Performance Measurement (PM) Committee on diversion goals and the integration of “carryover” numbers from the previous grant period. The SS&M Committee is responsible for assessing site calculations of the reduction goals, and the PM Committee is responsible for measuring progress toward the reduction goals.

For the calculation of the initial reduction goals, sites use IDOC data (per statute); however, the statute lacks guidance on integrating individuals from the prior grant period in the calculation of the 25% renewal reduction goal. ARI staff suggested the number of “carryover” clients should be integrated into the calculation of renewal reduction goals since they receive services and count as diversions (as long as they stay out of IDOC) in both grant periods. This calculation method encourages continuous enrollment from sites, while recognizing that interventions usually last longer than one year and longer than one grant period.

Patricia Hayden noted that this can be confusing on the site level since each site calculates a reduction goal differently, although all begin with IDOC data for the past three years. There was a discussion about the differences across sites in calculating reduction goals, which can be a function of the particular target population (probation violators, drug court-eligible). ARI staff noted that this policy guidance for “carryovers” will help create some uniformity in calculating reduction goals from year to year. The measure of a diversion toward the reduction goal is consistent across sites, that is, any individual currently enrolled in a program, successfully completed a program, or terminated to a non-IDOC disposition.

ARI staff notes that for some sites, the goal will not be difficult to meet based on program capacity and enrollment, while smaller sites may have trouble meeting a goal due to a low number of overall offenders being sent to IDOC. The committee discussed the idea of a minimum goal and creating an incentive for sites that set and meet a higher goal. Simeon Kim suggests an incentive of increased funding which is in line with performance incentive funding. For example, a site may have a minimum goal of a 25% reduction and a “stretch” goal of 30% where they would be incentivized for the 30% goal, but not penalized unless they fail to meet the 25% reduction goal. ARI staff can look for national models on this and keep the committee updated.

Review and development of funding recommendations – SFY15 renewal grants

Lindsey LaPointe opened the discussion on funding recommendations, utilizing the summary chart that was provided to the committee. Continuing grantees submitted designation request forms which provide broad goals for the renewal period and include less detailed budgets.

- The 2nd Judicial Circuit requested \$378,161.00 to continue operating drug courts in 11 counties and expand to the 12th county in circuit. The committee noted the average cost per person diverted is in excess of the informal cap (of \$15,000) that the committee has utilized in the past; however, taking out one-time equipment purchases and integrating “carryover” individuals into the renewal reduction goal calculation will reduce the cost per person. The committee recommended approval of up to the amount requested on the contingency that the cost per person is lowered for better program cost-effectiveness. Voting will be held to the end in a uniform motion.
- The 4th Judicial Circuit requested \$381,433.00 to continue mental health courts in two counties, as well as cover funding for Christian County drug court when federal funds expire on September 30, 2014 and expand the current capacity of Effingham County Drug Court. The program has increased their budget in alignment with new components added and increased numbers served. The committee recommended approval of up to the amount requested.
- The 9th Judicial Circuit requested \$478,000.00 to continue the circuit-wide drug court program. New components include the development of an employment program and the integration of *Moral Reconation Therapy*. The committee recommends approval of up to the amount requested.
- Boone County requested \$169,158.00 to continue the new drug court. Clarification is needed on reduction goal of eight or 13. The committee noted the average cost per person diverted is high. Conference training may need to be removed since it occurs in SFY16 (July 2015). The committee recommends approval of up to the amount requested on the contingency that the cost per person is lowered for better program cost-effectiveness.
- The Cook County HOPE program requested \$1,237,828.00 to continue the intensive probation supervision program, including adding a second position through the sheriff’s office for the expediated warrant service. The committee recommends approval of up to the amount requested.
- The Cook County ACT Court requested \$1,116, 736.00 to continue the new Access to Community Treatment Court. Clarification is needed on any one-time equipment purchases. The committee noted that the previous grant was for nine months, beginning in October of 2013, therefore the new grant request is more than the prior grant amount. The committee recommends approval of up to the amount requested on the contingency that the cost per person is lowered for better program cost-effectiveness.
- DuPage County requested \$321,237.00 to continue the intensive probation supervision program with a new in-house cognitive-behavioral employment program and a proposal to add a family/parenting education component. The committee recommends approval of up to the amount requested on the contingency that the cost per person is lowered for better program cost-effectiveness and clarification is provided on the target population and reduction goal calculation.
- Jersey County requested \$122,901 to continue the drug court. The proposed reduction goal is more than 25% of the Jersey baseline; however, the plan is to include eligible offenders from

neighboring Greene County. The committee recommends approval of up to the amount requested on the contingency that the cost per person is lowered for better program cost-effectiveness and clarification is provided on the reduction goal calculation at the 25% of target population level.

- Kane County requested \$493,532.00 to continue the intensive probation supervision program. A new program component includes the screening of Class 1 and 2 offenders that will subsequently increase the service goal. The committee recommends approval of up to the amount requested on the contingency that the cost per person is lowered for better program cost-effectiveness.
- Lake County requested \$269,620.00 to continue the enhancements to the Lake County problem solving courts. The committee recommends approval of up to the amount requested on the contingency that the cost per person is lowered for better program cost-effectiveness and written assurance provided that individuals served are IDOC bound.
- LaSalle County requested \$300,135.00 to continue the intensive probation supervision program. LaSalle County has a very slow program ramp up during the first funded grant period. The committee recommends approval of up to the amount requested.
- Macon County requested \$405,513.00 to continue the intensive probation supervision program. The committee recommends approval of up to the amount requested.
- Madison County requested \$310,709.00 to continue the intensive probation supervision program, adding a new Adult Redeploy Illinois Supervisor. The committee suggests pro-rating salary based on hire date, checking for possible supplanting (county funds), and check on salary level consistency. The committee noted the average cost per person diverted is high. The committee recommends approval of up to the amount requested on the contingency that the cost per person is lowered for better program cost-effectiveness and more detail on the salary of the new supervisor.
- McLean County requested \$195,325.00 to continue the intensive probation supervision program. The committee recommends approval of up to the amount requested.
- Peoria County requested \$365,700.00 to continue the intensive probation supervision program. Conference training may need to be removed since it occurs in SFY16 (July 2015). The committee discussed the need to clarify that program participants are IDOC bound. The committee recommends approval of up to the amount requested.
- Sangamon County requested \$310,747.00 to continue the drug court program. Clarification is needed on reduction goal since two different numbers appear in the request. The committee recommends approval of up to the amount requested.
- St. Clair County requested \$541,126.00 to continue to intensive probation supervision/mental health court docket program. New components include a third probation officer to allow for an expanded program capacity. The committee recommends approval of up to the amount requested on the contingency that the cost per person is lowered for better program cost-effectiveness.
- Winnebago County requested \$884,533.00 to continue the drug court and previously funded components of the mental health court. The committee recommends approval of up to the amount requested on the contingency that the cost per person is lowered for better program cost-effectiveness.

Simeon Kim made a motion to approve the 18 renewal grant requests with contingencies as applicable in one uniform motion. Sarah Kooperman seconded for all grants except for both Cook County grants, and Patricia Hayden seconded for all grants except DuPage County. All in favor, none opposed, motion passes. Patricia Hayden abstained from the DuPage County vote and Sarah Kooperman abstained from the votes on the two Cook County programs.

Review of local plan – SFY14 planning grant

The committee discussed the local plan submitted by Grundy County as the final planning grant report. The local plan is to establish a new mental health court in Grundy County. The program is ready to begin in July 2014; however, Grundy County will need to first respond to a Request for Proposals (RFP) that has yet to be released. The ARIOB will vote on the release of a SFY15 RFP at the May 19th meeting and (contingent on SFY15 funding) will vote on RFP responses at the August 4th meeting. The committee discussed the Grundy County local plan in substance and the committee agreed that it is a strong plan for a necessary program.

Sarah Kooperman made a motion to approve Grundy County's local plan to establish a mental health court in substance (not funding), Patricia Hayden seconded. All in favor, none opposed, motion passes.

Old business/new business

The committee discussed dates for the next committee meeting based on the draft timeline for SFY15 funding. The committee set a tentative date for Friday, July 25th at 10am.

Adjournment

Simeon Kim made a motion to adjourn the meeting, Sarah Kooperman seconded. All in favor, none opposed, motion passes. The meeting was adjourned at 3:28p.m.

(Approved 7/22/14)