

**Minutes from the Adult Redeploy Illinois Oversight Board (ARIOB)  
Performance Measurement Committee  
Monday, November 24, 2014  
1:30-3:30p.m.  
Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority (ICJIA),  
300 W. Adams, Ste. 200, Chicago, IL 60606  
Small Conference Room**

ARIOB board members present: Joe Antolin, Nate Inglis Steinfeld (for Kathy Saltmarsh), Rebecca Skorek (for Jack Cutrone)

Non-ARIOB present: Mary Ann Dyar, Lindsey LaPointe, Mystik Miller, Sara Wasserteil

**Welcome and Introductions**

Joe Antolin called roll at 1:39pm, and it was determined there was quorum.

**Approval of previous meeting minutes – November 5, 2014**

Committee members reviewed the minutes from the November 5<sup>th</sup> meeting. Rebecca motioned to approve the minutes and Nate seconded. All in favor, none opposed, minutes approved.

It was requested that future minutes flag decisions made and action items.

**Discussion of Corrective Action Plan (CAP) Process and Policy**

a. Development of CAP policy draft

The committee discussed additional site goals/requirements based upon ARI's authorizing legislation that could be considered for corrective action, in addition to the reduction goal.

**Decisions:** Site expectations on which corrective action can be based include (1) correct use of an assessment tool, (2) utilization of evidence-based practices (EBPs), (3) service of an appropriate ARI target population, and (4) timely provision of performance measurement data. Criteria and triggers for CAPs were also determined (see matrix).

**Action Items:** Set revocation rate threshold (after Thanksgiving) – Rebecca  
Determine whether assessments must be validated – ARI staff  
Analyze data to determine maximum number of overrides – Rebecca

b. Review of CAP template

The committee suggested changes to the CAP template and recommended creating a separate instruction sheet for completing CAPs with guidance on defining the issue(s); developing actionable statements and timelines; and reporting mitigating circumstances, where applicable.

c. Other

To help contextualize the challenges and understand their performance more holistically, ARI may consider asking for historical site data as well – e.g., trends/funding/admissions/exits/risks over time. This could be an action step. Doing so could help identify outliers.

**Action Items:** Replace “progress” with “measured progress” and add timeline box – ARI staff  
Develop instructions for CAP – ARI staff  
Provide and review historical data, when applicable, to analyze trends – Grantees/PMC

The committee discussed developing a plan for how performance measurement and CAPs formally relate to funding renewal and allocation decisions, especially when funding is scarce. The group discussed what other performance measures could be helpful, including leveraging the Affordable Care Act to reduce budgets and create better returns on investments (ROIs).

**Action Items:** Determine how performance measurement, and CAPs in particular, formally relates to funding decisions, renewals and allocations – PMC

### **Review of Site Corrective Action Plans**

#### LaSalle Corrective Action Plan

LaSalle County submitted a draft CAP after falling short of its SFY14 reduction goal by one individual. The committee will wait to vote on the LaSalle CAP until the site revises action steps #4-7 in the CAP to be more actionable (e.g., “increase communication” – how will it be done, how frequently, etc.). ARIOB approved waiving the fee, but did not approve the plan, leaving that to the committee. The committee intends to review/approve the CAP at the next meeting.

It was suggested that the new CAP policy could go into effect with the next quarterly data pull scheduled for January 15, 2015.

**Action Items:** Vote on LaSalle CAP once ARI receives revised CAP (at December 9<sup>th</sup> meeting) – PMC

#### Cook County HOPE Program Corrective Action Plan

The Cook County HOPE program submitted a draft CAP when questions arose as to whether it is serving a prison-bound target population, based on data analysis by Dr. Dave Olson and the program clients’ risk scores. The committee discussed the need to first determine whether Cook HOPE is an outlier, in terms of the risk level of target population served, therefore requiring corrective action. Staff will look at previous quarters’ LSI-R scores of those served at other sites, in particular in high populous counties, to compare with Cook HOPE. Initial analysis shows that, on average, of those served by sites, 40% are high risk. Outliers include the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuits, Macon, Kane, Winnebago (drug court), and Cook HOPE, which have a lower percentage of high-risk clients. ARI should aim to establish a threshold percentage (of high-risk clients in the program) so sites know the expectation.

**Action Items:** Gather evidence to set a threshold of high-risk clients in a program, to help determine if Cook HOPE is an outlier (at December 9<sup>th</sup> meeting) – Rebecca/ARI staff

### **Old Business/New Business**

- a. Follow up on Affordable Care Act discussion

ARI staff is working with TASC to identify ACA early adopters among grantees that are attempting to fully utilize the Medicaid expansion, and then document what they are doing, provide them with training/TA to help them overcome barriers, and create case studies that can be shared with other sites. The committee discussed the importance of this work with TASC and the need to provide a template so sites see the possibilities of ACA implementation – how it can reduce/reallocate ARI budgets and improve client access to care. The Illinois Department of Corrections (IDOC), the Illinois Department of Human Services (IDHS) and the Illinois Department of Health and Family Services (IHFS) were all identified as resources for ACA information. Additional work is needed around this topic, e.g., ARI staff and sites need to find out if current contracted treatment providers are in managed care networks and any related requirements. The committee looked at the results of the brief ACA survey conducted with ARI sites in September.

**Action Items:** Craft question on if/where the ACA and Medicaid is being implemented and what ARI can do help sites expand Medicaid utilization – PMC

Next Meeting: December 9, 2014 at 2:30 p.m.

Motion to adjourn by Rebecca, seconded by Nate, and approved at 3:33pm.

**(Approved 12/9/14)**