



ADULT REDEPLOY ILLINOIS

MINUTES

ADULT REDEPLOY ILLINOIS OVERSIGHT BOARD PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT COMMITTEE

Tuesday, February 13 at 10:00 a.m.
ICJIA, 300 W. Adams Street, Suite 200, Small Conference Room
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Welcome and Introductions

Committee Chair Nate Inglis Steinfeld called the meeting to order at 10:09 and Mary Ann Dyar took roll.

ARIOB Member Attendance	Present	Telephone	Absent
Jordan Boulger, designee for Tom Lyons	X		
Kristin Davis, designee of Mark Ishaug	X		
Lynne Mock, designee for John Maki	X		
Kathy Starkovich			X
Nate Inglis Steinfeld (Committee Chair), designee for Kathy Saltmarsh	X		

A quorum was established.

Also present were:

Mary Ann Dyar, ARI Program Director
Adriana Perez, ARI Program Manager
Judge James Radcliffe (Ret.), ARI Technical Assistance Advisor (Telephone)
Emma Rubin, ARI Policy and Project Coordinator
John Specker, SPAC Research Analyst

Mr. Steinfeld shared the goals for the meeting, which included reviewing progress on the Cook HOPE corrective action plan (CAP), continuing the discussion of operational definitions, and hearing an update on the ARI research plan.

Approval of January 10, 2018, Meeting Minutes

Mr. Steinfeld offered edits that corrected the spelling of his name (from “Seinfeld” to “Steinfeld”) and clarified a point on the recidivism definition on page 4.

Motion: Dr. Davis motioned to approve the minutes with Mr. Steinfeld’s corrections. Mr. Boulger seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Discussion of and vote on Cook HOPE ARI corrective action plan (CAP)

Mr. Steinfeld reviewed the history of the Cook HOPE CAP and noted that this was the second CAP for the Cook HOPE program. He said CAP reports indicated progress, such as implementing a policy and procedures manual and utilizing a sanctions and incentives grid, but concerns remained on communication and collaboration within the team stemming from program leadership. He said a final brief narrative report was received and would be circulated to the



committee.

Mr. Steinfeld said Cook Hope completed its reporting requirements and noted the reports of incentives and sanctions showed a downward trend in the use of long jail stays. Mr. Steinfeld said the committee should improve its up-front framing of core issues moving forward. He also said he was not comfortable making a determination of whether Cook HOPE was an evidence-based program.

Dr. Mock noted that the fidelity checklist could be one way to determine how closely Cook HOPE is adhering to the policy and procedures manual and suggested that Cook HOPE continue submitting fidelity checklists through the end of the year. She said that this would be a good model for other programs, too.

ARI staff was directed to request a fidelity checklist from Cook HOPE as part of the quarterly data report process through the end of state fiscal year 2018.

Discussion of Operational Definitions

The committee moved to the discussion of ARI operational definitions. Mr. Steinfeld directed the committee's attention to a table comparing the ARI draft definitions and definitions provided by the Administrative Office of Illinois Courts and opened discussion on defining *recidivism*.

The committee directed ARI staff to modify the working definition of *recidivism* from "enrolled persons who receive a new conviction within one year of exiting the ARI-funded program," to "enrolled persons who receive a new conviction of a Class B misdemeanor, Class A misdemeanor, or felony offense within one year of discharge from an ARI-funded program."

Mr. Steinfeld next initiated discussion on the definitions of *revoked* and *revocation*. The committee agreed to use "revoked to IDOC" as the working definition ("percentage of participants, per termination year, who are sent to IDOC within one year of exiting the ARI-funded program") of "revoked". The committee also directed ARI staff to modify working definition of *revoked/revocation* from "number of participants in an ARI-funded program whose probation was terminated and sanctioned to a more severe alternative, including prison," to "terminated from the ARI-funded program and sanctioned to prison."

Mr. Steinfeld next opened discussion on the definition of *diverted*. Dr. Mock said she would need a working definition of *diverted* for development of the annual report. Ms. Dyar noted a distinction between *initially diverted* and *fully diverted*. She said it would be helpful to examine data from the last state fiscal year and show the number of sites that would have met their reduction goals using different definitions.

Ms. Dyar reminded the committee of the impact changes in definition could have on the sites. Sites are subject to penalty when they fail to meet diversion goals. She said other ARI committees make decisions based on the current definition and a communication strategy around any new definition might be necessary.

The committee directed staff to create a comparison of the sites' progress toward SFY17 reduction goals using the definitions of *initially diverted* and *fully diverted*. The committee agreed to continue definition development at the next meeting.



ADULT REDEPLOY ILLINOIS

Dr. Mock stated that she will look to see if the definition of “recidivism,” “revocation” and “diverted” are workable given the data available.

Research Update/Update on Strategic Plan Process

Dr. Mock shared a one-page document to be presented to the ARI Oversight Board and gave a brief preview of her planned presentation to the ARI Oversight Board.

Old business/new business

None.

Adjournment

Mr. Boulger moved to adjourn. Dr. Davis seconded the motion. The motion passed by unanimous vote. The meeting adjourned at 11:30.
(approved 3/14/18)